

From: [Wiechman, Lief](#)
To: [Hansen, Craig](#)
Cc: [DElia, Jesse](#); [Kris Tita](#); [Pat Deibert](#); [Carey Galst](#); [Mary Grim](#); [Amy Nicholas](#); [Holly Freifeld](#)
Subject: Re: Draft Local and State Plan worksheet
Date: Tuesday, February 10, 2015 9:21:14 AM

At some point - we can use the CED to summarize plans provided to the CED by MZ (per some of Jesse's comments). There were about 100 last time I checked across the range, including state plans, and some have already gone to the trouble of separating chunks of their plans by the threat they ameliorate (although I haven't reviewed to see how different they are).

We could likely show them how to pull these out during the seminars Holly and I will be giving...?

LW

Lief Wiechman

Sage-grouse Ecologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
Cell: 307.214.8426
Office: 307.772.2374 x236
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Tue, Feb 10, 2015 at 8:26 AM, Hansen, Craig <craig_hansen@fws.gov> wrote:

Thank you all for your comments. I am working to consolidate and address them and I intend to provide a revised version before this afternoon.

Craig.

--

Craig Hansen
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
(303) 236-4749
Schedule: M T Th F

On Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 6:17 PM, DElia, Jesse <jesse_delia@fws.gov> wrote:

Thanks Craig,

Suggest clarifying the purpose of this worksheet is to gather the best available information for inclusion in the species report.

For the State regulatory mechanisms - we need to summarize these by Management Zone. So, will need a management zone lead to write up a concise summary of the major provisions of the state regulatory provisions and what they mean for protecting sage-grouse and sage-grouse habitats in that MZ - again, in the context of the primary threats in

that MZ. (This could be the text that goes into a Regulatory mechanisms chapter).

For the local and Federal plans - I suggest also just asking for the information similar to the ask for the States (see above).

For #3 - I'm concerned that the level of detail you will get here will vary wildly - and worried this could be extremely time consuming without much of a payday in terms of what will go into the species report. Maybe leave this out and see what we get from the MZ by MZ writeup and ask the chapter writers to reach out directly to FOs to get specific threat amelioration measures as necessary? Alternatively think about a way to ask for this info in summary fashion - rather than listing out all of the regulatory requirements in the plan - which could be extensive.

For #4 - include a column for MZ.

For #5 - I don't know that we need folks to update these - certainly can borrow from these summaries to fill in #2.

Jesse

On Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 12:45 PM, Hansen, Craig <craig_hansen@fws.gov> wrote:
Hello Everyone:

Here is the draft worksheet to collect information from the Field Offices on State and local planning efforts (regulatory mechanisms).

We'd like to release this, or something similar, simultaneously with the CED request, so we would appreciate your comments before this Thursday.

Not on SP due to outage.

Thanks,

Craig.

--

Craig Hansen
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
(303) 236-4749
Schedule: M T Th F

--

Jesse D'Elia, Ph.D.

Candidate Species Conservation Coordinator
Endangered Species Division, Pacific Regional Office
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
911 NE 11th Avenue, 4th Floor, Portland, OR 97232

503.231.2349 phone; 503.231.6243 fax
jesse_delia@fws.gov